

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. P03002US1A; 295620-214153


| | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------|
| Group Art Unit: | 1711 |) | |
| | |) | |
| Examiner: | Asinovsky |) | |
| | |) | |
| Inventor: | Wang, et al. |) | INTERVIEW |
| | |) | SUMMARY |
| Serial No.: | 10/791,049 |) | |
| | |) | |
| Filed: | March 2, 2004 |) | |
| | |) | |
| For: | Method of Making Nano-Particles |) | |
| | of Selected Size Distribution |) | |

On December 3, 2008 the undersigned attorney and the Examiner, Ms. Olga Asinovsky participated in an interview.

The independent claims were discussed, and dependent claims 14 and 15 were also briefly discussed.

The interview focused on three issues: (1) the § 112 rejection of the independent claims for indefiniteness and Applicants' disagreement with the rejection; (2) the Examiner's interpretation of the term "mono-block polymer chain" and Applicants' disagreement that this limitation could be found in the Krom (U.S. 6,437,050) reference; and (3) Applicants' disagreement with the Examiner's allegation that Krom (inherently, or otherwise) disclosed the polydispersity range required by the claims. The Examiner agreed that Krom did not disclose the polydispersity range limitation, but asserted that a reason should be shown why a polydispersity of 1.3, disclosed in Krom, was different from the claimed range of about 1.5 to about 10. No agreement was reached on the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

 5/7/09
Nathan T. Lewis (Registration No. 56,218)
Jones Day
North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 586-7078